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Abstract

Hodayot, in Hebrew means thanksgiving Psalms, is a collection of approximately thirty poems that give thanks to God. Hodayot is an important resource for understanding the piety and religious devotion of those who composed and recited them. In reconstructing the original scroll, uses direct joins method, vertical alignment of material, horizontal alignment of material, and placement of fragments according to the Scribal Hands. The benefits of this reconstruction: Firstly, the accurate placing of adjacent fragments has enabled more portions of meaningful text to be recovered. Secondly, this method allows the original length of the scroll to be estimated as twenty-seven or twenty-eight columns of forty-one to forty-two lines each. The average length of lines is also known. Thirdly, it is now possible to investigate the overall structure of the collection, particularly when the data from the Cave 4 manuscripts is also considered. This group contains all of the compositions identified as Teacher Hymns and it strengthens the argument that they represent a distinct group by a single author.
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INTRODUCTION

Hodayot, in Hebrew means thanksgiving Psalms, is a collection of approximately thirty poems that give thanks to God.\textsuperscript{1)} It was named Hodayot by Sukenik because of the distinctive opening phrase –

1) Sukenik designated this “The Thanksgiving Scroll”, and the poems themselves came to be called “Hodayot” (in English, Thanksgiving Psalms or Thanksgiving Hymns). See Eleazar Sukenik, The Dead Sea Scrolls of the Hebrew University (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, Hebrew University, 1955).

2) Bonnie Pedrotti Kittel, The Hymns of Qumran (Translation and Commentary), ed.

I thank you, Lord or Blessed you, Lord - with which some of the hymn-like compositions begin.\textsuperscript{2)} Seven copies of the collection were found in the caves near Qumran, and this relatively large number indicates its importance. In addition, hodayot is an important resource for Douglas A. Knight (Ann Arbor, Michigan: Edwards Brothers, Inc., 1981), 1; Julie A. Hughes, Scriptural Allusions and Exegesis in the Hodayot (Michigan: Brill, 2006), 2; Eileen M. Schuller and Carol A. Newsom, The Hodayot (Thanksgiving Psalms): A Study Edition of 1QH\textsuperscript{a} (Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature, 2012), 1. See the similarities and dissimilarities for the example.
understanding the piety and religious devotion of those who composed and recited them. These psalms reflect the distinctive vocabulary and religious ideas that marked the type of Judaism found in other primary works of the Qumran community such as the *Rule of the Community* and the *War Scroll*.  

**DISCOVERY 1QH**

The largest and the most complete copy, 1QH, is the scroll found by Taamira Bedouin in Cave 1 near Khirbet Qumran, and purchased in November 1947 by Eliezer Sukenik of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. This is a very large scroll with forty-one or forty-two lines per page; the size, care in preparation, and beautiful calligraphy indicate that this was an important scroll that had some status in the community. Two years later when archaeologists excavated Cave 1, archaeologists also found two more small pieces (fragments) that were very similar both in handwriting and in content that overlaps with the large scroll. These fragments were all that remained of a scroll designated 1Q35/1QH, another copy of the same collection; they were published in 1955 by J. T. Milik in DJD - Discoveries in the Judean Desert - 1.

**4QH**

From the fragments founds in Cave 4, six more manuscripts were identified that contained text that overlapped with 1QH (4Q427-432) and, in a few places, fills in material missing from that manuscript (4Q427-432, 4QH, and 4QpapH). Five were written on animal skins (4QH) and one (4QpapH) on papyrus. The amount of material that is preserved in these badly damaged copies is limited, but there are some places where a cave 4 fragment preserves words and phrases, even partial psalms, that are not found in 1QH. They were allotted to John Strugnell, who did extensive work on identifying and analyzing these very fragmentary scrolls; they were eventually published by Eileen Schuller in DJD 29 in 1999.

**Relationship between 1QH, 1QH, and 4QH Manuscript**

The 1QH manuscript is the largest, the most complete, and still the most important copy for working with this collection of poems. In relationship with

---


4 This idea is to enter the Community is to enter into God’s Covenant with the resolve to fortify oneself and to persevere (compare to the *Rule of the Community* and the *Damascus Document*). See Eileen Schuller, “Hodayot” in John J. Collins and Daniel C. Harlow, *The Eerdmans Dictionary of Early Judaism* (Grand Rapids, Michigan: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2010), 747.


6 There are forty-one lines for columns i and xviii, according to the new numbering, and forty-two lines starting from column xix (xii of the Sukenik’ edition), which is where there is a change in handwriting; the second copyist took over from that point to the end of the scroll. Further explanation see on the section of reconstruction 1QH. See Puech, “Hodayot”, 365 and Schuller, “Hodayot”, 747.


9 For the overlapped material, see the relationship’ section between the 1QH, 1QH, and 4QH manuscript.


11 The fact that there are eight copies preserved and that 1QH was a large and elegantly crafted scroll
1QH⁰ and 4QHᵃ⁻⁴, there are some places where those copies can be used to fill in lacuna, both letters and words, in 1QH⁰. When these copies are incorporated, columns 4-26 of 1QH⁰ are approximately three-quarters complete.¹² 1QH⁰, for instance, is corresponding to 1QH⁰ XV 30-XVI 1 and XVI 13-14.¹³ In addition, of the approximately 125 fragments in total of 4QHᵃ⁻⁴ and 4QpapH¹, about forty preserves text that overlaps with passage in 1QH³.¹⁴

In addition to filling in some of the lacuna in 1QH⁰,¹⁵ the 4Q copies are important as we seek to understand how the collection(s) were put together. The manuscripts vary, however, in content and in the ordering of the psalms.¹⁶ The application of reconstruction methods to the Cave 4 scrolls has yielded valuable new data which suggests that the Cave 4 manuscripts do not contain identical
corresponded with 1QH³ cols. 1-8. Also, the parallel between 1QH³ XIII 26 (V 24) with 4QH³ Frg. 7 Col. XXXIV see from the picture 3 and 4. Four fragments remain from 4QH³, frg. 1 i (Col. I) are preserved all overlap with columns 13-14 of 1QH⁰. See picture 5 and 6. 4QH³ is a single fragment that overlaps with 1QH³ XII 15-19. See picture 7 and 8. 4QH³ overlapped with a few very small fragments from 1QH³ 25:34 (frg. 8 10), and the last line of fragment 2 corresponds to 1QH 26:29 (frg. 7 ii 4). The identifiable fragments of 4QpapH¹ overlap with 1QH⁰ IX 13-XVII 36. IX 1-X 5 as ‘Creation Hymn’ and X 6-XVII 36 as ‘Hymns of the Teacher’. It can be established on material grounds that the scroll began with the psalm of 1QH³ column 9, the so-called “Creation Hymn,” and continued with the Teacher Hymns. Despite of overlapped materials, there is one psalm that is partially preserved in 4QH³ Frg. 8 i 13-ii 19 that is not found in any of the preserved columns of 1QH³ (though it is possible that is was part of cols. 1-3 or cols. 27-28). A few other fragments of significant size in the 4Q copies have not yet been identified with any text in 1QH³, and there are many tiny fragments with only a letter or partial letter so that it is impossible to say if they overlap or not. For all these fragments, it is necessary to consult the complete publication of the 4Q manuscripts in DJD XXIX. See E. Chazon, Qumran Cave 4.XX: Poetical and Liturgical Texts, Part 2 ( DJDXXIX; Oxford: Clarendon, 1999), 75; Schuller and Newsom, The Hodayot, 3-4; Eileen M. Schuller, “The Cave 4 Hodayot Manuscripts: Preliminary Description” in Jewish Quarterly Review 85, 1994, 177-194.

³⁶Stegemann and Schuller, Discoveries in the Judean Desert XL, 1QHODAYOT¹⁶, trans. Carol Newsom (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2009), 38, 55, 312. See picture 1 for frg. 11 and picture 2 for frg. 16.

³³Puech states that 1QH⁰ overlaps with 1QH³ (column viii and viii of the edition princeps). See Puech, “Hodayot”, 365.

¹⁴All the material in 4QH³ that overlaps with material in 1QH³ is from psalms of the ‘Hymns of the Community’ type. Much of the material is of a somewhat more liturgical nature, that is, psalms containing imperative calls to praise, blessings and first-person plural forms rather than the more usual first-person singular. 4QH³ seems to have

indicates that these psalms had importance and authority for the community that wrote them and preserved them in the caves near the Dead Sea.

¹² How are columns 1-3? Unfortunately, very little remains from the first three and what were probably the last two columns of 1QH³. Column 1, for example, has no fragments that can be placed with any certainty, though it is possible that some of the small unplaced fragments from Scribe A may originate from this first column. Two fragments can be tentatively placed in cols. II and III respectively. It is done through the help of parallel text that has been preserved in other copies. Frag. 16, for instance, has a similar shape and so it may have come from the next column to the right (col. II), at about lines 24-32 (though it is not impossible that it could have come from even one column more to the right, that is col. I). See Emile Puech, “Quelques aspects de la restauration du Rouleau des Hymnes (1QH).” Journal of Jewish Studies 39 (1988), 4. He placed both frgs. 11 and 16 in col. III. The shape of frg. 11 corresponds well to the shape of the bottom right part of col. IV (XVII) and so it may have come from the next column to the right (col. III) at about lines 23-33 towards the middle of the column. See Hartmut Stegemann and Eileen Schuller, Discoveries in the Judean Desert XL, 1QHODAYOT¹⁶, trans. Carol Newsom (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2009), 38, 55, 312. See picture 1 for frg. 11 and picture 2 for frg. 16.

¹³Puech states that 1QH⁰ overlaps with 1QH³ (column vii and viii of the edition princeps). See Puech, “Hodayot”, 365.

¹⁴All the material in 4QH³ that overlaps with material in 1QH³ is from psalms of the ‘Hymns of the Community’ type. Much of the material is of a somewhat more liturgical nature, that is, psalms containing imperative calls to praise, blessings and first-person plural forms rather than the more usual first-person singular. 4QH³ seems to have

In addition to filling in some of the lacuna

in 1QH³,¹⁵ the 4Q copies are important as we seek to understand how the collection(s) were put together. The manuscripts vary, however, in content and in the ordering of the psalms.¹⁶ The application of reconstruction methods to the Cave 4 scrolls has yielded valuable new data which suggests that the Cave 4 manuscripts do not contain identical

corresponded with 1QH³ cols. 1-8. Also, the parallel between 1QH³ XIII 26 (V 24) with 4QH³ Frg. 7 Col. XXXIV see from the picture 3 and 4. Four fragments remain from 4QH³, frg. 1 i (Col. I) are preserved all overlap with columns 13-14 of 1QH⁰. See picture 5 and 6. 4QH³ is a single fragment that overlaps with 1QH³ XII 15-19. See picture 7 and 8. 4QH³ overlapped with a few very small fragments from 1QH³ 25:34 (frg. 8 10), and the last line of fragment 2 corresponds to 1QH 26:29 (frg. 7 ii 4). The identifiable fragments of 4QpapH¹ overlap with 1QH³ IX 13-XVII 36. IX 1-X 5 as ‘Creation Hymn’ and X 6-XVII 36 as ‘Hymns of the Teacher’. It can be established on material grounds that the scroll began with the psalm of 1QH³ column 9, the so-called “Creation Hymn,” and continued with the Teacher Hymns. Despite of overlapped materials, there is one psalm that is partially preserved in 4QH³ Frg. 8 i 13-ii 19 that is not found in any of the preserved columns of 1QH³ (though it is possible that is was part of cols. 1-3 or cols. 27-28). A few other fragments of significant size in the 4Q copies have not yet been identified with any text in 1QH³, and there are many tiny fragments with only a letter or partial letter so that it is impossible to say if they overlap or not. For all these fragments, it is necessary to consult the complete publication of the 4Q manuscripts in DJD XXIX. See E. Chazon, Qumran Cave 4.XX: Poetical and Liturgical Texts, Part 2 ( DJDXXIX; Oxford: Clarendon, 1999), 75; Schuller and Newsom, The Hodayot, 3-4; Eileen M. Schuller, “The Cave 4 Hodayot Manuscripts: Preliminary Description” in Jewish Quarterly Review 85, 1994, 177-194.

³⁶Stegemann and Schuller, Discoveries in the Judean Desert XL, 40.

¹⁶The variations between overlapping pieces of compositions within the existing manuscripts from Caves 1 and 4 are many orthographic and do not show any evidence of different recensions. See Schuller, “The Cave 4 Hodayot;”, 90.
collections to 1QH. 4QH only appears to be of a similar order and length to 1QH. 4QH and 4QH, on the other hand, clearly have a different order of psalms than that of 1QH. 17

THE BRIEF SURVEY

1QH

For 1QH, this meant presenting first the twelve columns that were joined and relatively well preserved, and the ‘the crumpled mass’ of seventy fragments arranged basically according to size. 18 When 1QH came into Sukenik’s possession, he described it as “a badly damaged scroll” preserved in two separate parts, “three sheets, each one with four columns, or a total of twelve columns19 ... and a crumpled mass of about 70 detached fragments of various sizes”. 20 He recognized immediately that two scribes were involved in copying the manuscript, due to the change of hand: the one scribe wrote up until column 11 line 22 (column 19 line 25 in the reconstructed scroll), then another scribe took over in the middle of the line and completed the scroll. 21 As a result, it can be determined whether a detached fragment, even a very small one, came from the beginning or the end section of the scroll.

The Order and Number of the Columns in 1QH

Because there are two types of the ordering and numbering of hodayot, it is important to know the differences between them. 22 The early studies of 1QH used the column and line numbers assigned in the principal edition by Sukenik. 23 This numbering system, however, has been largely superseded by that of Stegemann and Puech. It was the publication in the late eighties of a paper by Puech which changed the perception of the structure of 1QH. Then, this led to a gradual adoption of the Stegemann and Puech’ reconstruction and re-numbering of the material.

Sukenik’ Order and Number of the Columns. 24

In Sukenik’s edition, 25 the twelve columns

---

17 To comprehend the order, see picture 9 for comparison of order between 1QH and 4QH. To see the comparison between the whole scrolls of hodayot.
18 Stegemann and Schuller, Discoveries in the Judean Desert XL, 2.
19 It constitutes plates i-xii in Sukenik. The sheets are about thirteen inches high contain as many as forty lines to a column. See Sukenik, The Dead Sea Scrolls, 1-23.
20 The latter was difficult to open and was the last to be unrolled. The greater portion of the parchment is dark, to extremely dark, brown and some pieces have become black due to the ravages of time. Menahem Mansoor, The Thanksgiving Hymns, (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1961), 4; Sukenik, The Dead Sea Scrolls, 3.
21 To see the difference, see picture 19.
22 Lack of understanding of these two ordering and numbering of Hodayot will create confusion when interacting with the sources.
of the first bundle are reproduced from pls. 35-46, and the fragments of the second bundle on pls. 47-58. Sukenik started with the observation that in the bundle of fragments that he had opened last. The latter are arranged according to size and according to the scribe who wrote them: pls. 47-51 contain five single columns which were written by one scribe, three columns of which, on pls. 48-50, are connected with another. The rest can be divided into two groups: to one group, pl. 56-57, (cols. XIII-XVII) and frgs. 10-44; to the other group, pl. 53-55, 58, belong to col. XVIII and frgs. 1-9 and 45-66. This division corresponds to the paleographic findings from cols. I-XII that came from the first bundle. 

According to Sukenik, most of these columns were written by a single scribe, but another scribe took over in the middle of col. XIX (XI 26). The identification of this scribe with Scribe C of the fragments was, for Sukenik, indisputable. See picture 19 as an evidence.

Sheet 1 (I-IV): Three lost columns + Sukenik [XVII]

26 Then on pl. 52, there are three fragments that Sukenik put together to form a column (col. XVIII); these were written by another hand. On pls. 53-55, there are the largest fragments (frgs. 1-9) of the second hand; then pls. 56-57 contain the small fragments of the first hand (frgs. 10-44), and on pl. 58 are the remaining smaller fragments of the second hand (frgs. 45-66).

27 Scribe A

28 Scribe C


30 See picture 21 and 22.

31 This method is called as placement made on the basis of the reconstruction. The original beginning of the scroll was badly destroyed by damage that eroded the scroll from within. The consequences of this damage are naturally less pronounced the greater distance from the origin of the damage, moving toward the middle part of the scroll. It is therefore striking that in the reconstructed scroll, cols. IV (XVII + frg. 14), V (XIII + frgs. 15a, 31, 17, 15b) immediately to the left, the transition from col. V to col. VI (that is, frgs. 15b + 18 +22), and likewise the upper part of Sukenik’s col. XIV all preserve the upper parts of the column that extend on average about 6 cm higher compared to the upper edge of the following columns: Sukenik’s col. XV in the reconstructed col. VII, and Sukenik’s col. XVI in the reconstructed col. VIII. This is even more striking because in the next column, col. IX (I), not be separated from it.

**The Reconstruction 1QH**

Puech first noted that 1Q35, which had long been recognized as part of the Hodayot, actually contained text which overlapped with that of columns [VII] and [VIII] of 1QH and was therefore a second manuscript, 1QH. This identification enabled Puech to reconstruct some missing portions of the text of these columns with proposal to reconstruct the material as belonging to a single scroll. He took note that, as suggested by Sukenik, the pattern of damage and the change of scribal hand could be used as a guide.

Thus, columns [XIII] to [XVII] should precede columns [I] to [XII]. Puech, however, also noted that the identification of columns as one sheet of five columns was inconsistent with the evidence that the other sheets contained only four columns each. He proposed that column [XVII] did not belong to the same sheet as columns [XIII-XVI] but had precede them. His proposed new order was

Sheet 1 (I-IV): Three lost columns + Sukenik [XVII]
Puech then turned his attention to the fragments. He disagreed with Sukenik’s assembly of three large fragments as column [XVIII]. Using these and some of the remaining fragments, he reconstructed the final two sheets as follows: Sheet 6 (XXI-XXIV): each col. Contained parts of [XVII] + fragments Sheet 7 (XXV-XXVIII): various fragments

In this paper, the two numbering systems will be distinguished by enclosing Sukenik’s numbering within square brackets.

The Benefits of this Reconstruction

Firstly, the accurate placing of adjacent fragments has enabled more portions of meaningful text to be recovered. Secondly, this method allows the original length of the scroll to be estimated as twenty-seven or twenty-eight columns of forty-one to forty-two lines each. The average length of lines is also known. Thirdly, it is now possible to investigate the overall structure of the collection, particularly when the data from the Cave 4 manuscripts is also considered. This group contains all of the compositions identified as Teacher Hymns and it strengthens the argument that they represent a distinct group by a single author.

1QHb

Only two fragments remain from this manuscripts (1Q35). Those fragments, however, do not belong to 1QHc. On the basis of content, the double transmission of a single psalm in the same scroll would be very surprising; the double transmission of a series of three psalms in one and the same scroll would be inconceivable and without and they know also the original order of the psalms in this 1QHc copy. Most significantly, it is clear that the so-called ‘Hymns of the Teacher’ come more or less in the middle of the scroll, preceded and followed by ‘Hymns of the Community’.

34Puech, “Quelques aspects”, 43.
35 Ibid., 48-52
37 Through the investigation of the overall structure of the collection, scholars find out how much material was missing at the begging and at the end of the corresponding area but even larger surfaces are preserved and connected. Stegemann and Schuller, Discoveries in the Judean Desert XL, 37.
38 Milik assigned two fragments that he numbered 1Q35 to 1QHc. The writing on these fragments does indeed show a striking affinity with the hand of Scribe A of 1QHc.
39 On frg. 1 there are the ends of fourteen lines of writing and overlapped with 1QHc XV 30ff (VII 27ff). The second fragment, on the other hand, has very narrow lines and only two lines of writings. See Ibid., 45
40 On frg. 1 there are the ends of fourteen lines of writing and overlapped with 1QHc XV 30ff (VII 27ff). The second fragment, on the other hand, has very narrow lines and only two lines of writings. See Ibid., 45
parallel in similar collections. Furthermore, in line 5 of 1Q35 1, the shape of *lamed* is clearly different from that of the lamed in 1QH. It can also be noted that in 1Q35 the scribe always joined the middle stroke of the *sin* (1Q35 I 2, 13) to the left stroke, whereas Scribe A of 1QH is careful to draw it unjoined between the two side strokes. However, based on the observation to multiple fragments, it is hard to find consistency of these shapes – lamed and sin –, thus, this argument is unconvincing. Materially, their shapes are very different from 1QH. Thus, they must come from a second scroll of the same collection of psalms (or a scroll of at least part of the collection) that is designated as 1QH.

### 4Q Hodayot Manuscripts

The six copies from Cave 4 are all fragmentary and badly damaged. Five are written on leather (4QH-ε) and one on papyrus (4QpapH).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript</th>
<th>Fragment(s) Total</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4QH (4Q427) | 16-22             | Written in a semi-cursive hand. This psalms belong to the category of the Hymns of the Community, and contain a large number of doxological and liturgical elements with an emphasis on the union of humans and angels in praise. This may indicate something about the distinctive nature of this collection. One of the distinctive features of 4QH is that the psalms appear in assertion of a new material, text and a different material.

---

41 These fragments contain the remains of three consecutive psalms that appear in the same sequence in 1QH in cols. XV 30-36 (VII 27-33); XV 37-XVI 4 (VII 34-VIII 3); XVI 5-XVII 37 (VIII-IX 37). Also, in the Qumran texts, there is a doublet in the section 1QM XII 7-16 and the lower part of col. XVIII (destroyed)- XIX 8. Doublets can arise from the combination of two originally independent collections that both contain the same passage. See Stegemann and Schuller, *Discoveries in the Judean Desert XL*, 43.

42 The paleo-Hebrew *lamed* in cols. VII 38 (XV 25), IX 28 (I 26), and X 36 (II 34) is formed out of two almost straight lines that meet on the lower left and form a sharp angle. On the other hand, the *lamed* in Milik’s fragment (1Q35 1 5) has a curved shape on the lower left. Ibid.

43 Ibid.

44 See Picture 23 for the evidences.

45 Ibid.

46 The cave 4 materials consist of over one hundred fragments from six manuscripts designated 4Q427-432 (4Q-ε), most of these are fairly small, persevering just a few letters, with only twenty-five fragments containing ten or more words. The following is a summary of the basic characteristics of the manuscripts. See Schuller, “The Cave 4 Hodayot,” 90.

47 Fragments 9 and 10 (formerly known as frgs. 6 and 7), which overlap with columns XV [VII] and XVI [VIII] of 1QH. See Schuller and Newsom, *The Hodayot*, 10.

48 The use of the plural, series of blessings, and the calendar of the times.

49 The new material from 4QH appears to be a version of the “Self-Glorification Hymn,” also attested in the very similar version in 4Q471, and the different but related version in 4Q491C. There are also several small portions of new text from 4Q471. See Schuller, “Hodayot,” 96-108.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4QH(^b) (4Q428)</td>
<td>56-69 (75?)</td>
<td>Is the earliest copy of <em>Hodayot</em>. Some seventy-five fragments are preserved, though many are very tiny and cannot be identified. This copy was identical with 1QH(^a) in the content and order of the psalms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4QH(^c) (4Q429)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Survives in six fragments giving remains of eight columns, and the columns are short (twelve lines) and narrow; perhaps it was a copy for personal use. All the preserved material is from the ‘Hymns of the Teacher’ collection, and this manuscript may have contained only psalms of that type with perhaps an introductory psalm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4QH(^d) (4Q430)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Is a single fragment that overlaps with 1QH(^a) 12:15-19. It is impossible to say if this one fragment is all that survived from a complete <em>Hodayot</em> copy or this was originally a smaller collection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4QH(^e) (4Q431)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Is preserved by two fragments. Two fragments are from a single psalm, a psalm found also in 4QH(^a) and 1QH(^a).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4QpapH(^f) (4Q432)</td>
<td>22-24</td>
<td>Is a badly damaged papyrus scroll of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

50 There is clear evidence of this difference in order between 1QH\(^a\) and 4QH\(^a\) in those places where the end of one psalm and the beginning of another is preserved within the same fragment of 4QH\(^a\). That is, 4QH\(^a\) had a different order of psalms is established from the text, quite apart from how individual fragments are arranged and numbered. In fragment 8 of 4QH\(^a\), for instance, this hymn is preceded by a hymn not attested in 1QH\(^a\) whereas in 1QH\(^a\) it is preceded by a hymn which is partially preserved in fragment 3 (formerly known as frgs. 2+11) of 4QH\(^a\). Also, as reconstructed, 4QH\(^a\) is shorter than 1QH\(^a\) and has no preserved text corresponding with the known contents of columns X [II] – XVIII [X] of 1QH\(^a\). See picture for the example. See also Chazon, *Qumran Cave 4*, XX, 78.


52 It is most clearly demonstrated by the contents of fragment 8 (formerly known as frg. 3). Fragment 8 II 10-21 corresponds with the composition in 1QH\(^a\)XX 7-21 [XII 4-18]. 75 fragments to 1QH\(^a\) x.35-xxvi.42. Puech, ‘Hodayot’, 366.

53 Chazon, *Qumran Cave 4*, 75.

54 It is also possible that 4QH\(^a\) and 4QH\(^c\) come from different parts of the same manuscript, but there is no positive evidence to support such a reconstruction. See. See Schuller and Newsom, *The Hodayot*, 4.

55 The handwriting is very similar and could have come from the same tribe. There are, admittedly, differences between frg. 1 and frg. 2 in the overall appearance of the skin, in the size of letters, and in the distance between the ruled lines. Ibid.

which twenty-nine pieces survive. There are fragments of the ‘Creation Hymn’ and “Hymns of the Teacher”

The Date of 1QH\textsuperscript{a}, 1QH\textsuperscript{b}, and 4QH\textsuperscript{a-f} Manuscript\textsuperscript{58}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscripts</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1QH\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>30-1 BCE \textsuperscript{59}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1QH\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>30-1 BCE \textsuperscript{60}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4QH\textsuperscript{a} (4Q427)</td>
<td>75-25 / 1 (?) BCE (late Hasmonean or early Herodian) \textsuperscript{61}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4QH\textsuperscript{b} (4Q428)</td>
<td>It is clearly later than the early Hasmonean semi-formals, but earlier than the late Hasmonean and early Herodian rustic semi-formals. 100-50 BCE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{57}Ibid, 209-212.


\textsuperscript{59}Observations: Scribe A: From the left of manuscript Col IV 33 [Suk. Col. XVII]: Khaf, last word, has a similar character with number 4; Col IV 34 [Suk. Col. XVII]: Bet, last word, is number 4 or 5; Col. IV 35 [Suk. Col. XVII]: Lamed, last word, either number 3 or 4 of the formal hand in the Hasmonean and in the Herodian period; for Chet, last word, is probably number 3 although 4 is also possible; Col. IV 36 [Suk. Col. XVII]: Tet, the second last word, is more likely number 4; Col. IX 23 (Suk. Col. I): From the right of manuscript He, on the first word, is number 4; Yod, on the second word, is number 4. See picture 11. Ayin, on the second word, is number 4. 1 QHa: Scribe C: Col. XX 10 (Suk. Col. XII): From the left of manuscript: Bet, last word, is number 4 and Vav, last word, is could be number 3 or 4. While 1QH\textsuperscript{a-b}, with its beautiful calligraphy, largely dates from the beginning of our era or shortly before, the manuscripts from Cave 4 are certainly older. See Puech, ‘Hodayot’, 366.

\textsuperscript{60}1QH\textsuperscript{b}: Fragment 63: Line 3, the mem is from number 4; Fragment 64: The bet and taw does like number 5. See picture 12.

\textsuperscript{61}The aleph on fragment 409 seems derived from number 2 or 3; mem is either 2 or 3; and bet is number 3. See picture 13
Authorship

The authorial unity of the Hymns is challenged because the trend of current research is to distinguish two types of Hymns: the “Hymns of the Teacher,” or Thanksgiving Hymns on occasion of a revelation or of a personal release, and the “Hymns of the Community,” soteriological confession Hymns by different authors where the “I” has not autobiographical coloring but refers to the members of the Community (Morawe, Jeremias and Kuhn). However, an unmistakable unity of style and vocabulary reveals the single authorship of hodayot.

Summary of Contents

This collection should be subdivided into two major categories based on the content, vocabulary, and style. In the first group of psalms, the so-called “Hymns of the Teacher,” the person, the Teacher of Righteousness, who speaks has an exalted position and often makes the claim to function as a mediator of revelation to others. In the second category of psalms, the so-called “Hymns of the Community,” style and vocabulary reveals the single authorship of hodayot.

---

Fragment 10 2, first word: waw: 2; bet: 2/3; on the second word: khet is number 2. On line 3, mem, on the last word, is 2 most likely. See picture 14

Fragment 2 8, the first word: yod is 3, bet is 4. The next line, the first word: kaf: 4. On the 10 line, the first word: peh: 4. See picture 15

430: bet 4/5, mem ending: 5, qof: 5. See picture 16.


Fragment 3, first word, yod: 5, resh: 5, he: 5; second word: bet, lamed: 5. See picture 18.


Puech argues that a systematic contribution of authorship probably does not do justice to the reality, since the Teacher of Righteousness was no doubt capable of expressing himself to various literary approaches and could vary his vocabulary wherever necessary. His strong personality was certainly not limited to a single type of hymn composition, and could vary between expressing himself in his own name and using his own spiritual experience as a pattern, or composing in a more impersonal manner for his group and for community praise of God. See Puech, ‘Hodayot’, 367. Sukenik suggested that this author could have been the Teacher of Righteousness himself since certain passages describe how God had given the psalmist a special revelation that he is to share with the members of his community. Through me, you have enlightened the face of the Many (1QH a 12:28). References to how the psalmist was persecuted and expelled (“they have banished me from my land like a bird from the nest” 1QH a 12:9-10) could be referring to the suffering and exile of the Teacher at the hands of the Wicked Priest, as described in other works such as Pesher Habakkuk. See Sukenik, The Dead Sea Scrolls, 3-20.

At least eight psalms of this type (some commentators would add a few more) are grouped together in cols. 10-17, that is, in the middle of the reconstructed 1QH scroll, and it is these same psalms that are found in 4QH and 4QHf. They have often been read autobiographically as a source of information about the personal religious
the “I” seems to be the corporate voice of the community. The underlying unity of the Hymns is that they are a kind of meditation in which the theological subject is generally dealt with three major areas of focus: God, the salvation of the just, and the final doom of the godless—beginning with their leader Belial—in an eschatological war. All these psalms start with a fixed introductory formula, either “I thank you, O Lord” or “Blessed are you, Lord”. It is followed by his reason for offering thanks by recounting what God has done for him. The main body of each psalm can be quite varied in form and content. Sometimes there is an extended and elaborate development of a specific image or motif. There is no standard concluding formula; in the biblical psalms of thanksgiving there was often mention in the concluding section of offering sacrifice and fulfilling vows in the Temple, but this element is not found in any of these texts.

The Use of Hodayot

There is very little thing to know about how these psalms were used at Qumran. There are no specific headings or concrete directions for usage on a certain day, month, week, or year like those in other prayer collections. The length of many of the compositions, the absence of set formulas and congregational responses, and the complexity of both the poetic style and the development of ideas have suggested to many scholars that they were intended primarily for personal private meditation and/or instruction. But at least some of these psalms may have been used liturgically in the worship life of the community.

experience of the Teacher of Righteousness as well as the early formative years of the community; a minority of scholars have emphasized, however, that much of this language is very formulaic and taken from the biblical psalter, and could be applied more generally to any member of the community. See Puech, ‘Hodayot’, 365.

God’s greatness and perfection is described, along with his justice and kindness, and his forgiveness.

The Hymns speak of the persecution and suffering to which the faithful person falls victim and of his hope for the victory of God the Father, and for the punishment of the wicked. Thus, a member belongs to the group of the just or the small remnant for whom God is already manifesting his power and mercy, while he will fully manifest his power and justice at the Visitation-Judgment, when all the wicked are destroyed, both Jews and pagans alike (compare the War Scroll). For the just, it will be a time of rejoicing, rewards, delights of Paradise, eternal glory, and peace in the world that has been renewed and purified in the universal conflagration, while Belial will be cast into the burning place of eternal Doom.

Themes such as the weakness and sinfulness of the human condition and the doxological confession of divine graciousness would be especially appropriate on occasions such as the liturgy for entrance into and renewal of the covenant (1QS 1:18-2:18); perhaps secondarily, these psalms came to be used as part of the daily “entering the covenant of God” (1QS 10:10). There are certain themes in common with the morning blessings in later rabbinic prayer (e.g., knowledge; creation) but the links are general rather than specific. It is tempting to wonder whether these psalms might have been used when the Many

judgment in the heights on earth and in the underworld Abyss, as well as reference to punishment in the infernal Sheol, and to reward with the sons of heaven are found in both categories.

For example, “because you have placed my soul in the bundle of the living” (1QH a 10:22). See Mansoor, The Thanksgiving Hymns, 3-5.

A tree planted in a garden (1QH a 16:5-27), a fortified city (1QHa 14:28-32), or woman in labor (1QH a 11:8-14). In a few psalms, there are extended descriptions of the eschatological future, with particular emphasis on the destruction of Belial and all the spirits of wickedness (1QH a 11:26-37). One long poem toward the end of 1QHa (23:1-25:33) reflects on the fallen angels and the introduction of sin into the world. See the similarities and dissimilarities for further explanation.

Themes such as the weakness and sinfulness of the human condition and the doxological confession of divine graciousness would be especially appropriate on occasions such as the liturgy for entrance into and renewal of the covenant (1QS 1:18-2:18); perhaps secondarily, these psalms came to be used as part of the daily “entering the covenant of God” (1QS 10:10). There are certain themes in common with the morning blessings in later rabbinic prayer (e.g., knowledge; creation) but the links are general rather than specific. It is tempting to wonder whether these psalms might have been used when the Many
Related To Other Texts

Old Testament
These psalms seem very “biblical-like” because they make extensive use of biblical phraseology and images. Hundreds of allusions have been identified, most of them from Psalms, Isaiah, and Deuteronomy. Only rarely is there a direct quotation of as much as a whole line; instead, the biblical words and phrases are reworked and reconfigured in an anthological style. The author also expresses, like in the Psalms, his feelings of adoration, praise, gratitude, trust, and faithfulness, while at the same time acknowledging his weakness, fear, guilt, repentance, and sometimes their desire for vengeance for the wickedness of their adversaries and the sinners. 77

Qumran
In terms of literary genre, other fragments that should also be included within this literary genre are the fragments of the hymnic compositions such as 1Q36-40, 3Q6, 6Q18, 8Q5, 11Q15-16, the end of 1QRule of the Community ix.xi-xii. In addition, the Hymns that are scattered throughout the War Scroll (1QM xii-xix) and the parallels in War Scrollb (4Q492), and particularly War Scrolla (4Q49111), which attests to some overlaps with 4QHb, the Prayer of Michael, and 1QHb xxvi. More broadly, they ought to be related to the Songs of the Sagea-b (4Q510-4Q511), the Songs of the Sabbath Sacrificea-c and the Words of the Luminariesa-c (4Q504-506), the Blessing (Berakah), and the non-canonical Psalms (4Q380-381, 11Q5a, 4Q88c, 4Q488, 4QPsAp among the most notable Qumran compositions). The Hodayot-like texts (4Q33 and 4Q440), 78 on the other hand, share similarities in form and vocabulary to the Hodayot manuscript (1QHb, 4QHb-f) though there is no overlapping text. 79

Appendix

Picture 1

Picture 2

77 In giving thanks to God the Creator and the one who exercises Divine Providence for his deeds of kindness toward their author(s). Ibid.
78 Ibid, 367.
The order in 4QHa and in 1QHa can be compared in two instances:\(^2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4QHa</th>
<th>Corresponding text in 1QHa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 1–3</td>
<td>XX 4–6 (XII 1–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 4</td>
<td>XXV 34 (frg. 8 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 i 6–12</td>
<td>VII 14–20 (frgs. 10 4–10; 42; 34; XV 2–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 i 13–ii 9</td>
<td>(not present in the preserved sections)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 ii 10–21</td>
<td>XX 7–21 (XII 4–8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Sections in 1QHa Preserved in 4QHa and 4QHb**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1QHa</th>
<th>1QHb</th>
<th>4QHa</th>
<th>4QHb</th>
<th>4QHc</th>
<th>4QHd</th>
<th>4QHe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV 19–20 (XIII 3–3 19)</td>
<td>1 1–3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 19–20 (XIII 3–3 19)</td>
<td>2 1–2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII 16–20 (XII 8–10 1)</td>
<td>8 i 6–12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX 12–14 (XII 11–13)</td>
<td>1 1–3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX 26–27 (XII 24–25)</td>
<td>2 1–3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 8–9 (XII 8–9)</td>
<td>3 1–5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 16–19 (XII 16–17)</td>
<td>4 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 32–41 (XII 32–41)</td>
<td>5 1–9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI 42–44 (XII 13–15)</td>
<td>6 1–2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI 44–49 (XII 13–18)</td>
<td>7 1–7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI 62–72 (XII 26–30)</td>
<td>8 1–7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI 80–XII 2 (XII 39–IV 1 1)</td>
<td>9 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII 31–32 (IV 10–11)</td>
<td>10 1–2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII 33–34 (IV 13–19 1)</td>
<td>11 1–3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII 11–12 (IV 22)</td>
<td>12 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII 22–27 (IV 31–36)</td>
<td>13 1–2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII 1–6 (V 9–9)</td>
<td>14 1–3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII 7–12 (V 18–19)</td>
<td>15 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII 16–29 (XII 24–29)</td>
<td>16 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII 28–30 (V II 23–25)</td>
<td>17 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII 31–40 (V II 25–34)</td>
<td>18 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII 41–50 (V II 28–51)</td>
<td>19 1–5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV 57–20 (VI 14–27)</td>
<td>20 1–7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV 20–25 (VIII 17–22)</td>
<td>21 1–12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV 26–32 (VIII 22–29)</td>
<td>22 1–12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV 29–31 (VII 26–28)</td>
<td>23 1–14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV 32–XVI 1 (VII 27–VIII 10)</td>
<td>24 1–14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^2\) For a more detailed comparison, see the source article.
Col. IV 36 [Suk. Col. XVII]: Tet, the second last word.
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Frg. 409
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